

## HERRAMIENTA DE AUTO-EVALUACIÓN CONTINUA DEL PROYECTO DE INVESTIGACIÓN TRANSDISCIPLINARIA<sup>1</sup>.

Nombre del proyecto de investigación: \_\_\_\_\_

Puntaje	Porcentaje de cumplimiento											Plan de acción para lograr el cumplimiento con la premisa
	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%	
<b>Contexto/Objetivo</b>												
<b>Presentación of the societal problem</b>												
1. Has the problem been sufficiently described?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Has the relevance of the problem been convincingly presented?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Has a convincing case been made for the fact that inter or transdisciplinary research can make the best possible contribution towards resolving the problem?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Objectives and questions</b>												
4. Does it become sufficiently clear what the contribution towards resolving the problem is to be?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Do the questions serve the purpose of meeting the objectives and do they follow from those?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Originality</b>												
6. Is the overarching project original? If no, in what way? If yes, why not?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

<sup>1</sup> Hoop, adaptado del Evaluation of Research Proposal (as used) – Benchmarking Project publicado en *Personae* (special issue: LTM Evaluating Transdisciplinary Research), p. 28, elaborado por Peter Verbeke y Annelinde D'Saude.