HOJA DE AUTO-EVALUACIÓN CONTINUA DEL PROYECTO DE INVESTIGACIÓN TRANSDISCIPLINARIA[1].

Nombre del proyecto de investigación: _____

Premisas	\multicolumn{11}{c}{Porciento de cumplimiento}	Plan de acción para lograr el cumplimiento con la premisa
	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%	
Contents/Objectives												
Presentation of the societal problem												
1. Has the problem been sufficiently described?	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	
2. Has the relevance of the problem been convincingly presented?	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	
3. Has a convincing case been made for the fact that inter or transdisciplinary research can make the best possible contribution towards resolving the problem?	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	
Objectives and questions												
4. Does it become sufficiently clear what the contribution towards resolving the problem is to be?	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	
5. Do the questions serve the purpose of reaching the objectives and do they follow from those?	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	
Originality												
6. Is the overarching project original? If so, in what way? If no, why not?	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	

[1] Hoja adaptada del Evaluation of Research Proposal (ex ante) – Overarching Project publicado en Panorama Special Issue 1/99 Evaluating Transdisciplinary Research, p.18 elaborada por Rico Defila y Antonietta Di Giulio.

| | Porciento de cumplimiento | | | | | | | | | | | Plan de acción para lograr el cumplimiento con la premisa |
Premisas	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%	
Involvement of users and external participants												
7. Do the objectives and questions justify the participation of external participants?	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	
8. Has the contribution to be made by external participants been presented with sufficient clarity?	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	
Results/Products												
9. Do the results to be expected appear to be achievable?	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	
Integration/Synthesis												
10. Have the methods intended for consensus building and integration been presented clearly?	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	
11. Do the methods intended for consensus building and integration appear to be suitable to achieve the intended results and products?	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	
Scientific quality												
12. Does the schedule appear to be realistic?	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	

Fecha de primera evaluación: _____

Fecha de segunda evaluación: _____

Fecha de tercera evaluación: _____